

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/542,222	05/01/2007	Fadi Salim Amran	023045-0001US	1756
34284 Rutan & Tucl	7590 12/11/200 ker LLP	8	EXAMINER	
611 ANTON			SWENSON, BRIAN L	
SUITE 1400	SA, CA 92626		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
COUTTINE	, i, C.172000		3618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/542,222	AMRAN, FADI SALIM	
Examiner	Art Unit	
BRIAN SWENSON	3618	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 24 November 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. \(\text{\tex{\tex
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection,
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailling date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailling date of the final rejection.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set fort in (a) above; if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any semed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
7. A For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3.5-10 and 12-21</u> .
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The efficient or other evidence filed efter a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Anneal will not be entared.

- because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
- 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
- 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
- 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
- 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Other: .

/Christopher P Ellis/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3618

/B. S./ Examiner, Art Unit 3618 For the purposes of appeal, amended claim 2 (and its dependent claims) would be rejected rejected under 35 USC 103 as being unpatentable over Tamura in view of Caicedo and in further view of Ezquerra in the manner as was applied to claim 4 in the Final Rejction of 9/24/108, as amended claim 2 row contains the limitations found in previously rejected claim 4.

For the purposes of appeal, amended claim 8 (and its dependent claims) would be rejected rejected under 35 USC 103 as being unpatentable over Tamura in view of Caicedo and in further view of Ezquerra in the manner as was applied to claim 11 in the Final Rejction of 974/108, as amended claim 8 now contains the limitations found in previously rejected claim 4.

Applicant's amendment of claim 10 overcomes the 35 USC 112, second paragraph, rejection in the Final Rejection of 9/24/08.

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but are not persuasive. The examiner maintains the position that it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine the familiar shoulder straps tably to yclacede, located on the opposite side of the container (11) as the seat (21), with the suitcase structure taught by Tamura (Figure 3) to allow the user to transport the suitcase over an uneven running surface with the user's hands free. Moreover, the combination of Tamura and Caicedo (and Ezquerra) would result in a predictable variation that one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize as no more than the predictable use of prior art elements according to their established functions, which has been held unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), absent evidence that the modifications necessary to effect the combination of elements is uniquely challenging or difficult for one of ordinary skill in the art. Ex Parte Smith, 33 USPO 2d 1506, 1514-19 (BPA), 2007) (clind KSR v. Teleflex, 127 S.C.) 1277, 1740, 82 USPO 43156, 1369 (2007)).